arXiv:1607.07964v2 [math.CV] 25 Sep 2016

EFFECTIVE TRANSITIVE ACTIONS OF THE UNITARY GROUP ON QUOTIENTS OF HOPF MANIFOLDS

ALEXANDER ISAEV

Abstract. In article [IKru] we showed that every connected complex manifold of dimension $n \geqslant 2$ that admits an effective transitive action by holomorphic transformations of the unitary group U_n is biholomorphic to the quotient of a Hopf manifold by the action of Z_m for some integer m satisfying $(n, m) = 1$. In this note, we complement the above result with an explicit description of all effective transitive actions of U_n on such quotients, which provides an answer to a 10-year old question.

1. Introduction

In [IKru] we classified all connected complex manifolds of dimension $n \geqslant 2$ that admit an effective action of the unitary group U_n by holomorphic transformations. In particular, in Theorem 4.5 of [IKru] we showed that if the action of U_n is transitive, then the manifold in question is biholomorphic to a certain quotient of a Hopf manifold. Recall that for a nonzero complex number d with $|d| \neq 1$ the corresponding n-dimensional Hopf manifold, say M^n_d, is constructed by identifying every point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$ with the point $d \cdot z$ (hence with each of the points $d^k \cdot z$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Clearly, M^n_d is compact. Further, letting $[z] \in M^n_d$ be the equivalence class of $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ the compact complex manifold obtained from M^n_d by identifying $[z]$ with $[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z]$ (hence with each of the points $[e^{\frac{2\pi i K}{m}} z]$ for $K = 0, \dots, m-1$). Theorem 4.5 of [IKru] then asserts that if the group U_n acts on a connected complex manifold X of dimension $n \geqslant 2$ effectively and transitively by holomorphic transformations, then X is biholomorphic to $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $(n, m) = 1$.

Conversely, as the following example shows (cf. [IKru, Example 4.1]), every manifold $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ with $(n, m) = 1$ admits an effective transitive action of U_n.

Example 1.1. First, we define an action of U_n on M^n_d. Let λ be a complex number such that $e^{\frac{2\pi(\lambda - i)}{n}} = d$. Write any element $A \in \mathrm{U}_n$ as $A = e^{it} \cdot B$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$, and set

$$A\,[z] := [e^{\lambda t} \cdot Bz]. \tag{1.1}$$

To verify that this action is well-defined, consider any other representation of A as above, i.e., $A = e^{i(t + \frac{2\pi k}{n} + 2\pi\ell)} \cdot (e^{-\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} B)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then formula (1.1) yields

$$A[z] = [e^{\lambda(t + \frac{2\pi k}{n} + 2\pi\ell)} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} Bz] = [d^{k+n\ell} e^{\lambda t} \cdot Bz] = [e^{\lambda t} \cdot Bz]$$

as required.

Next, action (1.1) is transitive and effective. Indeed, to verify its effectiveness, let $(e^{it} \cdot B)[z] = [z]$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$. Then for

Mathematics Subject Classification: 32M10, 32M05
Keywords: Hopf manifolds, transitive group actions.

some $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we have $B = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} \cdot \mathrm{id}$, and there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e^{\lambda t} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} = d^{\ell}$. Therefore, using the definition of λ we obtain

$$t = \frac{2\pi \ell}{n}, \quad e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} = e^{-\frac{2\pi i \ell}{n}},$$

which implies $e^{it} \cdot B = \mathrm{id}$ as claimed.

Now, fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(n, m) = 1$, consider the quotient $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ and for $[z] \in M^n_d$ denote by $\{[z]\} \in M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ the equivalence class of $[z]$. We define an action of U_n on $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ by the formula $A\{[z]\} := \{A[z]\}$ for $A \in \mathrm{U}_n$. This action is clearly transitive. To verify its effectiveness, let $(e^{it} \cdot B)\{[z]\} = \{[z]\}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \backslash \{0\}$. Then for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we have $B = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} \cdot \mathrm{id}$, and there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $e^{\lambda t} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} = e^{\frac{2\pi i K}{m}} d^{\ell}$. Using the definition of λ we then see

$$t = \frac{2\pi \ell}{n}, \quad e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} = e^{-\frac{2\pi i \ell}{n} + \frac{2\pi i K}{m}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Since $(n, m) = 1$, the second identity in (1.2) yields $K = 0$, and we obtain $e^{it} \cdot B = \mathrm{id}$ as required.

Since the time article [IKru] appeared in print, we have been asked—on numerous occasions—whether there exists a reasonable complete description of all effective transitive actions of U_n by holomorphic transformations on $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ where one assumes $(n, m) = 1$. In this note we, somewhat belatedly, give a positive answer to this question, which is now more than ten years old.

Our results are summarized as follows. First, we obtain:

THEOREM 1.2. *If for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the quotient $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ admits an effective action of U_n by holomorphic transformations, then $(n, m) = 1$. Further, every effective transitive action of U_n on $M^n_d/\mathbb{Z}_m$ by holomorphic transformations has either the form*

$$A\{[z]\} = \left\{\left[e^{i\left(1+(p+\frac{q}{m})n\right)t} d^{\frac{nrt}{2\pi}} CBC^{-1} z\right]\right\} \tag{1.3}$$

or the form

$$A\{[z]\} = \left\{\left[e^{i\left(-1+(p+\frac{q}{m})n\right)t} d^{\frac{nrt}{2\pi}} C\overline{B}C^{-1} z\right]\right\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $A \in \mathrm{U}_n$ is represented as $A = e^{it} \cdot B$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$, $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \neq 0$, $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $d^{\mu} := |d|^{\mu} e^{i\mu \arg d}$.

Remark 1.3. For $n = 2$ every action of the form (1.4) is in fact an action of the form (1.3) since complex conjugation $B \mapsto \overline{B}$ is an inner automorphism of SU_2; it coincides with the conjugation by the element $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 1.4. In the statement of Theorem 1.2 we chose to define d^{μ} for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ as $d^{\mu} := |d|^{\mu} e^{i\mu \arg d}$, where arg is the ordinary argument function taking values in the semiopen interval $[0, 2\pi)$. Any other possible definition of d^{μ} differs from ours by a factor of the form $e^{2\pi i \mu L}$, where $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ is independent of μ. Notice that for any such alternative definition of d^{μ} formulas (1.3), (1.4) remain valid with p replaced by $p - Lr$.

Observe that in Theorem 1.2 we do not claim that either of formulas (1.3), (1.4) always defines an effective action despite the fact that these formulas were obtained under the effectiveness assumption. Necessary and sufficient conditions on the integers p, q, r that guarantee effectiveness are given below:

Proposition 1.5. *We have:*
(i) *action* (1.3) *is effective if and only if there do not exist* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ *such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\text{(a)} \quad \frac{\ell}{r}\left(1 + \left(p + \frac{q}{m}\right)n\right) - \frac{nK}{m} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\text{(b)} \quad \frac{\ell}{r}\left(p + \frac{q}{m}\right) - \frac{K}{m} \notin \mathbb{Z};$$

(ii) *action* (1.4) *is effective if and only if there do not exist* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ *such that* (b) *and the following condition are satisfied:*

$$\text{(c)} \quad \frac{\ell}{r}\left(-1 + \left(p + \frac{q}{m}\right)n\right) - \frac{nK}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Finally, as one can see from the following corollary, the formulas from Theorem 1.2 and the conditions from Proposition 1.5 significantly simplify in the case of Hopf manifolds (i.e., when $m = 1$):

Corollary 1.6. *Every effective transitive action of* U_n *on* M_d^n *by holomorphic transformations has either the form*

$$A[z] = \left[e^{i(1+pn)t} d^{\frac{nrt}{2\pi}} CBC^{-1} z\right] \tag{1.5}$$

or the form

$$A[z] = \left[e^{i(-1+pn)t} d^{\frac{nrt}{2\pi}} C\overline{B}C^{-1} z\right], \tag{1.6}$$

where $A \in \mathrm{U}_n$ *is represented as* $A = e^{it} \cdot B$ *with* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$, $p, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \neq 0$, $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. *Furthermore, action* (1.5) *is effective if and only if there does not exist* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* r *divides* $\ell(1 + pn)$ *but does not divide* ℓp. *Action* (1.6) *is effective if and only if there does not exist* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* r *divides* $\ell(-1 + pn)$ *but does not divide* ℓp.

It is an easy exercise to show that action (1.1) from Example 1.1 can be written in the form (1.5) with $C = \mathrm{id}$, some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r = 1$.

2. The proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that for any connected compact complex manifold X the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of its holomorphic automorphisms is a complex Lie group in the compact-open topology (see [BM]). As noted in the proof of Theorem 4.5 in [IKru], the complex Lie group $\mathrm{Aut}(M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m)$ is naturally isomorphic to the complex Lie group $\mathcal{G} := (\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})/H)/\mathbb{Z}_m$, where $H := \{d^k \cdot \mathrm{id},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_m$ is identified with the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})/H$ that consists of all elements of the form $e^{\frac{2\pi i K}{m}} H$, $K \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$.

We will now find the maximal compact subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$. First, consider $G := \{d^t \cdot \mathrm{id}, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then the subgroup $\mathbf{K} := G/H \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})/H$ is isomorphic to S^1 (with the isomorphism given by $(d^t \cdot \mathrm{id})H \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$). We view $\mathbf{K}$ as a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ by way of the embedding $g \mapsto g\mathbb{Z}_m$, $g \in \mathbf{K}$. Further, taking into account the natural embedding of U_n in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})/H$ by the map $g \mapsto gH$, $g \in \mathrm{U}_n$, we conclude that $(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m) \cdot \mathbf{K}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$, where we notice that $(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m) \cap \mathbf{K} = \{e\}$. Since $\mathbf{K}$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{G}$, any other maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ has the form $s_0(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)s_0^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ for some $s_0 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Suppose now that we are given an effective action of U_n on $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ by holomorphic transformations. Clearly, the action induces an embedding $\tau : \mathrm{U}_n \to \mathcal{G}$. Since $\tau(\mathrm{U}_n)$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$, we have $\tau(\mathrm{U}_n) \subset s_0(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)s_0^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ for some $s_0 \in \mathcal{G}$. Consider the restriction of τ to SU_n. Since there does not exist a nontrivial

homomorphism of SU_n to S^1 (which follows, e.g., from [IKra, Lemma 2.1]), we have $\tau(\mathrm{SU}_n) \subset s_0(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)s_0^{-1}$. As the action is effective, $\tau(\mathrm{SU}_n)$ is isomorphic to SU_n. Clearly, $s_0(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)s_0^{-1}$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to SU_n if and only if $(n,m)=1$, in which case $\tau(\mathrm{SU}_n) = s_0\,\mathrm{SU}_n\,s_0^{-1}$, where in the right-hand side SU_n is embedded in $\mathcal{G}$ in the standard way. Hence, there exists an automorphism γ of SU_n and $D \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $B\{[z]\} = \{[D\gamma(B)D^{-1}z]\}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$ and $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$.

Next, every automorphism of SU_n has either the form

$$B \mapsto h_0 B h_0^{-1} \tag{2.1}$$

or the form

$$B \mapsto h_0 \overline{B} h_0^{-1} \tag{2.2}$$

for some $h_0 \in \mathrm{SU}_n$ (cf. Remark 1.3). If γ has the form (2.1), then there exists $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$B\{[z]\} = \{[CBC^{-1}z]\} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$ and $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$. If γ has the form (2.2), then there exists $C \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$B\{[z]\} = \{[C\overline{B}C^{-1}z]\} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$ and $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$.

Suppose first that SU_n acts as in (2.3). Restrict τ to the center Z of U_n. Composing τ with the projections of the group $s_0(\mathrm{U}_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)s_0^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{K}$ to its first and second factors, we see that there exist homomorphisms $\tau_1 : Z \to s_0(Z/\mathbb{Z}_m)s_0^{-1} = Z/\mathbb{Z}_m$ and $\tau_2 : Z \to \mathbf{K}$ such that $\tau(g) = \tau_1(g)\cdot\tau_2(g)$ for all $g \in Z$. Clearly, we have $\tau_1(e^{it}\cdot\mathrm{id}) = ((e^{i\sigma t}\cdot\mathrm{id})H)\mathbb{Z}_m$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tau_2(e^{it}\cdot\mathrm{id}) = (d^{\mu t}H)\mathbb{Z}_m$. Since $\tau_2(e^{it}\cdot\mathrm{id}) = \tau_2(e^{i(t+2\pi)}\cdot\mathrm{id})$, the number μ has to be of the form $\mu = \frac{L}{2\pi}$ for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further, since by (2.3) the map τ_2 is trivial on the center of SU_n, we obtain that $L = nr$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, as the action of U_n on $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ is transitive, it follows that $r \neq 0$.

Next, write any $A \in \mathrm{U}_n$ in the form $A = e^{it}\cdot B$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$. Then for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A\{[z]\} = (e^{it}\cdot B)\{[z]\} = e^{it}(B\{[z]\}) = \\ &e^{it}\{[CBC^{-1}z]\} = \left\{\left[e^{i\sigma t}d^{\frac{nrt}{2\pi}}CBC^{-1}z\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Representing A as $A = e^{i(t+\frac{2\pi k}{n}+2\pi\ell)}\cdot(e^{-\frac{2\pi i k}{n}}\cdot B)$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}$, we obtain from (2.5) that σ must be of the form $\sigma = 1 + (p+\frac{q}{m})n$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This yields (1.3).

Similarly, the case when SU_n acts as in (2.4) leads to (1.4). □

Proof of Proposition 1.5. We start by considering action (1.3) and suppose first that it is not effective. Then for a nontrivial element $A = e^{it}\cdot B$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathrm{SU}_n$ one has $A\{[z]\} = \{[z]\}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n\backslash\{0\}$. Hence, for some $k \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}$ we have $B = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}}\cdot\mathrm{id}$, and there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}$ such that

$$e^{i\left(1+(p+\frac{q}{m})n\right)t}d^{\frac{nrt}{2\pi}}e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} = e^{\frac{2\pi i K}{m}}d^{\ell}.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{2\pi\ell}{nr}, \\ k &= nL - \frac{\ell}{r}\left(1+\left(p+\frac{q}{m}\right)n\right) + \frac{nK}{m} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since A is nontrivial, we have $\frac{\ell}{r}(p+\frac{q}{m})-\frac{K}{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which is condition (b). Condition (a) follows from the second identity in (2.6).

Conversely, suppose that for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \in \{0,\dots,m-1\}$ conditions (a) and (b) hold. Define t as in the first equation in (2.6). Next, it follows from condition (a) that the right-hand side of the second equation in (2.6) is an integer, thus we define k by this equation with $L \in \mathbb{Z}$ chosen arbitrarily. From condition (b) we then immediately see that $A := e^{i(t+\frac{2\pi k}{n})} \cdot \mathrm{id}$ is nontrivial. On the other hand, it is also easy to check that A acts on M_d^n trivially. Thus, the action of U_n on $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ is not effective.

The proof in the case of action (1.4) is analogous to the proof above. □

References

[BM] Bochner, S. and Montgomery, D., Groups on analytic manifolds, *Ann of Math.* (*2*) **48** (1947), 659–669.

[IKra] Isaev, A. V. and Krantz, S. G., On the automorphism groups of hyperbolic manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **534** (2001), 187–194.

[IKru] Isaev, A. V. and Kruzhilin, N. G., Effective actions of the unitary group on complex manifolds, *Canadian J. Math.* **54** (2002), 1254–1279.

Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University, Acton, ACT 2601, Australia

E-mail address: alexander.isaev@anu.edu.au